

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/779,288	HUFF ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Cephia D. Toomer	1714	

All Participants:

(1) Cephia D. Toomer.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Frederick S. Jerome.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 November 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11, 15-22 and 25

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See *Continuation Sheet*

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Mr. Jerome that claim 11 lacked proper antecedent support for the cyclic benzylic diketones, that the non-elected claims should be canceled and that claim 25 did not define R. Mr. Jerome agreed that the examiner could clear up these matters by examiner's amendment..